





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/224,211	12/30/1998	JACK WASSOM	06975/033001	3985
26171 7	7590 09/03/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, BA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	W-1
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

دم.		·	
		Application No.	plicant(s)
4.	Advisory Action	09/224,211	WASSOM ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ba Huynh	2173
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Therefore final rejection	PLY FILED 12 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 1 re, further action by the applicant is required to a action under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🔯	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	-	
fee have be fee under (2) as set	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Is significant to be a state of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official of the control	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF To add on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
	Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 July 2003. Apper 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI		
	ne proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) [★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further ★ they raise new issues that would require further for the further further for the further fu	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):
_	☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,,,
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) [_	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		·,* .
3. 🗌 A	oplicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. No	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would anceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5.□ Ti a	ne a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for pplication in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the
6.□ Th	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7.⊠ Fo e	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment xplanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.
Th	ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
С	laim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		
С	laim(s) objected to: none.		
С	laim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-19, 24-30, 32-47, 52-78</u> .		Į,
С	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		/シ
8. Tr	ne proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. No	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
	ther:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	BA HUYAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 61 and 71 as amended to include the limitations "wherein the user interface comprises a set of... collection of uer interface controls" introduce new issues to the claims.